

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/654,627	09/05/2000	James Peterson	SCHW-600 7348		
7590 10/03/2003			EXAMINER		
STALLMAN & POLLOCK LLP			SHIH, SALLY		
ATTN: BRIAN J. KEATING 121 SPEAR STREET			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
SUITE 290			3624		
SAN FRANCIS	SCO, CA 94105		DATE MAILED: 10/03/2003		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	A	pplicant(s)				
•	09/654,627	PE	ETERSON ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Ar	rt Unit				
	Sally Shih		624				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address							
Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, howe ly within the statutory mini will apply and will expire S e, cause the application to	ver, may a reply be timely f mum of thirty (30) days will SIX (6) MONTHS from the r become ABANDONED (3	filed I be considered timely. mailing date of this communication. IS U.S.C. § 133).				
Status 1) M. Responsive to communication(s) filed on 16	hill 2002						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 16.	-	1					
, <u> </u>	his action is non-fi						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>20</u> is/are pending in the application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>20</u> is/are rejected.	☐ Claim(s) <u>20</u> is/are rejected.						
7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>20</u> is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a)☐ approved b)☐ disapproved by the Examiner.							
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.							
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
a) All b) Some * c) None of:							
<u> </u>	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 							
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).							
 a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. 							
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) 🗌		FO-413) Paper No(s) ent Application (PTO-152)				
S. Patent and Trademark Office							

DETAILED ACTION

1. This communication is response to Applicant's amendment filed on July 16, 2003. The rejections are as stated below:

Status of Claims

2. Of the original claims, claims 1-19 and 21-26 have been canceled. Therefore, claim 20 is under prosecution in this application.

Summary of this Office Action

3. Applicant's arguments filed on July 16, 2003 have been fully considered, and discussed in the next section below or within the following rejection are not deemed to be persuasive.

Therefore, claim 20 rejected as being unpatentable over the art cited below, and Applicant's request for allowance is respectfully denied. Please note that the Applicant's amendment to claim priority in the specification has been accepted and entered into the record.

Response to Amendment

4. Applicant has amended claim 20 to include elements derived essentially from base independent claim 1 and intervening dependent claims 13, 17, 18 and 19. Please see below for objection and rejection made regarding claim 20.

Application/Control Number: 09/654,627

Art Unit: 3624

Claim Rejections - 35 USC §101

5. Claim 20 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter.

The basis of this rejection is set forth in a two-prong test of:

- (1) whether the invention is within the technological arts; and
- (2) whether the invention produces a useful, concrete, and tangible result.

For a claimed invention to be statutory, the claimed invention must be within the technological arts. Mere ideas in the abstract (i.e., abstract idea, law of nature, natural phenomena) that do not apply, involve, use, or advance the technological arts fail to promote the "progress of science and the useful arts" (i.e., the physical sciences as opposed to social sciences, for example) and therefore are found to be non-statutory subject matter. For a process claim to pass muster, the recited process must somehow apply, involve, use, or advance the technological arts.

In the present case, claim 20 only recites an abstract idea. The recited steps of merely obtaining information about a customer and an insurance policy and performing a mathematical analysis to determine the optimal investment recommendation does not apply, involve, use, or advance the technological arts since all of the recited steps can be performed in the mind of the user or by use of a pencil and paper. These steps only constitute an idea of how to select an insurance policy over another.

Additionally, for a claimed invention to be statutory, the claimed invention must produce a useful, concrete, and tangible result. In the present case, the claimed invention produces scores

Page 3

Application/Control Number: 09/654,627

Art Unit: 3624

for various policies (i.e., repeatable) used in determining and selecting the best insurance policy (i.e., useful and tangible).

Although the recited process produces a useful, concrete, and tangible result, since the claimed invention, as a whole, is not within the technological arts as explained above, claim 20 is deemed to be directed to non-statutory subject matter.

Example:

A computerized method for selecting an insurance policy comprising the steps of:

- (a) retrieving information from a customer and an insurance policy;
- (b) scoring the insurance policy based upon the information from the customer and policy; and
- (c) iterating steps (1) and (2) with different policies until a best insurance policy is selected based on a score determined from step (b).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

6. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) and the Intellectual Property and High Technology Technical Amendments Act of 2002 do not apply when the reference is a U.S. patent resulting directly or indirectly from an international application filed before November 29, 2000. Therefore, the prior art date of the reference is determined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

Application/Control Number: 09/654,627

Art Unit: 3624

Claim 20 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Jones et al. (United States Patent Number 6,021,397).

Claim 20 describes a method comprising of receiving taxable and non-taxable investment, investor information (fig. 4; col. 5, lines 52-63), performing an optimization and providing a recommendation (figs. 3-8; col. 8, lines 36-33; col. 10, lines 18-28).

The method also describes a non-linear optimization routine. Jones et al. disclose an "econometric module" where non-linear optimization routine is used (figs. 5-6; col. 8, lines 14-26). Future projected returns are performed by different modules through several subroutines (col. 2, lines 48-64; col. 7, lines 66-67; col. 8, lines 1-5)

Conclusion

7. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event,

Art Unit: 3624

however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Sally Shih whose telephone number is 703-305-8550. The examiner can normally be reached on Flexible Schedule.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Vincent Millin can be reached on 703-308-1065. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-1113.

sys

HANI M. KAZIMI PRIMARY EXAMINER